

OS CoAP is more efficient / usable in low-resource environment than DTLS

IoT uses mostly UDP and CoAP



could roughly be HTTP adjusted for low-power environments

TCP is traditionally used for reliable connections

UDP is connection-less, and does not require maintaining connection (and resources required for this)

delivery + duplicate protection not guaranteed

↓
delegated to application layer

HTTP assumes TCP at transport layer...

the border router generally has a proxy translating between HTTP and CoAP

servers may also use CoAP

CoAP supports use as publish + subscribe protocol

CoAP response addresses similar to those of HTTP

takes care to correlate responses with requests

CoAP logically has two 'layers':
message layer
request/response layer

CoAP header structure
the message ID is used to detect duplicates

confirmable messages require an ACK with the same message IDs (most options are used when (non-) confirmable messages are not supported)
non-confirmable messages do not

CoAP has caching features

CoAP resource discovery

multicast address for list of available resources: GET /.well-known/core

CoAP has 4 security modes

0. no security (delegates to another layer) note that security at MAC layer allows intermediate nodes to access content
1. pre-shared key pre-programmed symmetric key
2. raw public key asymmetric key pre-programmed, no PKI
3. certificates X.509 certificates signed by CA, used for key exchange

ECDSA for authentication

ECDHE (with ephemeral keys) for key agreement

CoAP recommends the use of DTLS

CoAP does not specify headers, setup of secure layer, or how to use keys

DTLS and TLS are similarly different as CoAP and HTTP

↓
datagram transport layer security

DTLS provides end-to-end security 'at transport layer' on top of UDP/TCP

↳ compatible with TLS v1.3
roughly equivalent security guarantees, without other protocols / non-replayability

DTLS has explicit sequence numbers

DTLS (in particular handshake) allows for re-ordering packets & silently discarding messages

DTLS adds ACK messages

DTLS messages can be fragmented & re-assembled

DTLS adds Hello/RetryRequest to avoid MiTM & DoS

packet loss management is based on a re-transmission timer
initially 9s, retransmits upon expiry, the double timer

record layer:

- sequence number allow re-ordering, decrypting individual records, protecting against replay
- epoch allow identification of key changes

only block ciphers, no stream ciphers
or all record

handshake protocol same as TLS v1.3, but adjusted for UDP

DTLS handshake



DTLS is the main protocol in its niche, but it's not the most efficient

object security for CoAP OSCoAP

multiple clients connect to one server
encryption/signing of CoAP message using pre-shared key material

authentication server puts keys on client and puts pre-shared resources (for client) on server
access secrets
secret keys

client asks resource

server returns encrypted (and signed) resource

key is computed from access secret, message ID and sub-ID

advantage: does not require handshake & record protocols

attacks

CoAP flooding e.g. (re)clocks, block requests/responses
mitigation: through e.g. encryption (does not always work), attack detection through confirmable messages IDs

CoAP request delay delay reaching destination
mitigation: through IDS, shortening transmission window (but gives reduced robustness to network fluctuations)

CoAP relay attacks records packets then relays them to other nodes
one key will remain valid
mitigation: measure RTT (round-trip time), use signed GPS location data

MQTT

message queuing telemetry transport

publish / subscribe messaging transport protocol

broker (server): hosts list of topics + subscribers, redirects updates on topics to subscribers

nodes:

subscriber subscribes to topics of interest, receives updates on topics

publishers publishes updates on topic, can also be a subscriber

MQTT runs over TCP or UDP
messages may not receive out of order in MQTT

MQTT-SN runs over UDP
uses smaller headers and the concept of sleeping clients
uses network

MQTT security features

authentication → first username/password, later challenge-response

integrity → use TLS / assume to check permissions

confidentiality → links or suggestion to use VPN

many possible anomalies exist in MQTT